(2) Within 30 days of the entry of a final decision or a decision not to institute a trial.

[77 FR 48669, Aug. 14, 2012, as amended at 80 FR 28565, May 19, 2015]

§ 42.72 Termination of trial.

The Board may terminate a trial without rendering a final written decision, where appropriate, including where the trial is consolidated with another proceeding or pursuant to a joint request under 35 U.S.C. 317(a) or 327(a).

§ 42.73 Judgment.

- (a) A judgment, except in the case of a termination, disposes of all issues that were, or by motion reasonably could have been, raised and decided.
- (b) Request for adverse judgment. A party may request judgment against itself at any time during a proceeding. Actions construed to be a request for adverse judgment include:
- (1) Disclaimer of the involved application or patent;
- (2) Cancellation or disclaimer of a claim such that the party has no remaining claim in the trial;
- (3) Concession of unpatentability or derivation of the contested subject matter; and
 - (4) Abandonment of the contest.
- (c) Recommendation. The judgment may include a recommendation for further action by an examiner or by the Director.
- (d) Estoppel. (1) Petitioner other than in derivation proceeding. A petitioner, or the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner, is estopped in the Office from requesting or maintaining a proceeding with respect to a claim for which it has obtained a final written decision on patentability in an inter partes review, post-grant review, or a covered business method patent review, on any ground that the petitioner raised or reasonably could have raised during the trial, except that estoppel shall not apply to a petitioner, or to the real party in interest or privy of the petitioner who has settled under 35 U.S.C. 317 or 327.
- (2) In a derivation, the losing party who could have properly moved for relief on an issue, but did not so move, may not take action in the Office after the judgment that is inconsistent with

- that party's failure to move, except that a losing party shall not be estopped with respect to any contested subject matter for which that party was awarded a favorable judgment.
- (3) Patent applicant or owner. A patent applicant or owner is precluded from taking action inconsistent with the adverse judgment, including obtaining in any patent:
- (i) A claim that is not patentably distinct from a finally refused or canceled claim; or
- (ii) An amendment of a specification or of a drawing that was denied during the trial proceeding, but this provision does not apply to an application or patent that has a different written description.

§ 42.74 Settlement.

- (a) Board role. The parties may agree to settle any issue in a proceeding, but the Board is not a party to the settlement and may independently determine any question of jurisdiction, patentability, or Office practice.
- (b) Agreements in writing. Any agreement or understanding between the parties made in connection with, or in contemplation of, the termination of a proceeding shall be in writing and a true copy shall be filed with the Board before the termination of the trial.
- (c) Request to keep separate. A party to a settlement may request that the settlement be treated as business confidential information and be kept separate from the files of an involved patent or application. The request must be filed with the settlement. If a timely request is filed, the settlement shall only be available:
- (1) To a Government agency on written request to the Board; or
- (2) To any other person upon written request to the Board to make the settlement agreement available, along with the fee specified in §42.15(d) and on a showing of good cause.

CERTIFICATE

§ 42.80 Certificate.

After the Board issues a final written decision in an *inter partes* review, postgrant review, or covered business method patent review and the time for appeal has expired or any appeal has